

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

November 6, 2007

[Cite as *11/06/2007 Case Announcements*, 2007-Ohio-5916.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2005-0192. State v. Mundt.

Noble C.P. No. CRI 204-2002CR. This cause came on for further consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution scheduled for January 8, 2008. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the motion for stay of execution is granted.

It is further ordered that this stay shall remain in effect until exhaustion of all state post-conviction proceedings, including any appeals.

It is further ordered that counsel for the appellant and for the appellee shall notify this court when all proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state have been exhausted.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2006-1116. In re Resignation of Ruggiero.

On January 8, 2007, this court found John Ruggiero in contempt of the court's order accepting his resignation with disciplinary action pending because Ruggiero had failed to file an affidavit of compliance and surrender his Certificate of Admission.

On October 15, 2007, Ruggiero filed a motion to remove contempt with an affidavit of compliance attached. Upon consideration thereof,

The motion to remove contempt is denied. Ruggiero has not surrendered his Certificate of Admission or provided a reason for his failure to do so. Accordingly, he remains in contempt of that portion of the court's order.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

2007-1581. Cleveland Bar Assn. v. Mitchell.

This cause is pending before the court upon the report of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. On October 17, 2007, respondent filed her objections to the report that included a request for enlargement of time. Because respondent previously received an extension of time under Sup.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(3)(B)(2) and that rule prohibits her from filing of an additional request for extension,

It is ordered that the portion of respondent's objections and brief in support requesting enlargement of time is, sua sponte, stricken.

2007-1939. Ohio State Bar Assn. v. Martin.

This cause came on for consideration upon the filing of a report and recommendation of the Board on the Unauthorized Practice of Law. The board filed certain documents under seal and requests that the documents remain under seal.

It is ordered by the court that the request is granted. The following documents contained within the original board papers are sealed and will remain under seal until further order of the court: relator's Trial Exhibits 1,3,5,6,7,8,11 with the exception of the last 10 pages, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 35; respondent We the People USA, Inc., Trial Exhibits 10 and 13; respondents Eva Martin, Terry Martin, and TELLR Corporation Trial Exhibits Martin A, Martin B, Martin C, Martin D, Martin F, and Martin G.