

THE STATE OF OHIO, APPELLEE, v. HUFFMAN, APPELLANT.

[Cite as *State v. Huffman*, 114 Ohio St.3d 433, 2007-Ohio-4553.]

Child pornography – Court of appeals’ judgment affirmed on the authority of State v. Tooley.

(No. 2006-0799 — Submitted August 14, 2007 — Decided September 19, 2007.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County,
No. C-050044, 165 Ohio App.3d 518, 2006-Ohio-1106.

{¶ 1} The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed with respect to the issues presented in Proposition of Law Nos. I and II on the authority of *State v. Tooley*, 114 Ohio St.3d 366, 2007-Ohio-3698, ___ N.E.2d ___.

{¶ 2} As to Proposition of Law No. III, the cause is dismissed, sua sponte, as having been improvidently accepted.

MOYER, C.J., PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O’CONNOR, O’DONNELL, LANZINGER and CUPP, JJ., concur.

Joseph T. Deters, Hamilton County Prosecuting Attorney, and James Michael Keeling, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellee.

Ravert J. Clark, for appellant.
