The Supreme Court of Phio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

August 10, 2007

[Cite as 08/10/2007 Case Announcements, 2007-Ohio-4057.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2007-0781. Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.

Public Utilities Commission, No. 05-1444-GA-UNC. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of David C. Rinebolt by Coleen L. Mooney and the motion for leave to intervene as appellee of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy,

It is ordered by the court that the motions are granted.

2007-1054. Newman v. Levin.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2002-M-170, 2002-M-171, and 2002-M-172. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that the briefing is stayed.

2007-1265. Jefferson v. Beightler.

Marion App. No. 9-07-10. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Marion County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for appointment of counsel,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission On Continuing Legal Education.

Case No. CLE-2006-72006

ENTRY

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education ("commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2004-2005 reporting period.

On April 27, 2007, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court, and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On May 31, 2007, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during the suspension by this court's order of suspension. Respondent has satisfied all the requirements of this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Patrick Sean Leary, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

2 08-10-07