

**DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. HOLLAND.**  
**[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Holland*,**  
**113 Ohio St.3d 1242, 2007-Ohio-1532.]**

(No. 2004-1812 — Submitted March 13, 2007 — Decided March 20, 2007.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

---

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Darrell Wendell Holland, Attorney Registration No. 0022551, last known business address in Canton, Ohio.

{¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of October 14, 2005, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent for a period of one year, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A). Therefore,

{¶ 3} It is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 4} It is further ordered that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. Holland*, 106 Ohio St.3d 372, 2005-Ohio-5322, 835 N.E.2d 361.

MOYER, C.J., PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O’CONNOR, O’DONNELL, LANZINGER and CUPP, JJ., concur.