# The Supreme Court of Ohio

# CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

#### February 28, 2006

[Cite as 02/28/2006 Case Announcements, 2006-Ohio-884.]

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

#### 2004-0586. State v. Drummond.

Mahoning C.P. No. 03CR358. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas for Mahoning County. Upon consideration of appellee's motion to participate in oral argument,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is denied.

#### 2005-2119. State v. Gibson.

Ross App. No. 04CA2805, 2005-Ohio-5273. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On November 10, 2005, appellant filed a notice that a motion to certify a conflict was pending in the court of appeals and, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(A), this court stayed consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this appeal. Whereas appellant has neither notified this court that the court of appeals determined that a conflict does not exist as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(B) nor filed a copy of the court of appeals' order certifying the existence of a conflict as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellant show cause within fourteen days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to consider the jurisdictional memoranda in this appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(6).

#### 2005-2122. State v. Crace.

Ross App. No. 04CA2801, 2005-Ohio-5274. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On November 10, 2005, appellant filed a notice that a motion to certify a conflict was pending in the court of appeals and, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(A), this court stayed consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this appeal. Whereas appellant has neither notified this court

that the court of appeals determined that a conflict does not exist as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(B) nor filed a copy of the court of appeals' order certifying the existence of a conflict as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellant show cause within fourteen days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to consider the jurisdictional memoranda in this appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(6).

## 2005-2125. White v. Summit Cty.

Summit App. No. 22398, 2005-Ohio-5192. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On November 10, 2005, appellant filed a notice that a motion to certify a conflict was pending in the court of appeals and, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(A), this court stayed consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this appeal. Whereas appellant has neither notified this court that the court of appeals determined that a conflict does not exist as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(B) nor filed a copy of the court of appeals' order certifying the existence of a conflict as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellant show cause within fourteen days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to consider the jurisdictional memoranda in this appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(6).

## 2006-0328. State ex rel. Kuhar v. Medina Cty. Bd. of Elections.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus involving an expedited election matter. Upon consideration of the motion of Ohio Attorney General Jim Petro for leave to intervene,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted.

Lundberg Stratton, J., not participating.

# **MEDIATION REFERRALS**

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

# 2006-0311. State ex rel. Bailey v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 05AP-316.