

**DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL. v. KING.**

**[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. King*, 108 Ohio St.3d 1210, 2006-Ohio-664.]**

(No. 2004-0495 — Submitted January 23, 2006 — Decided January 30, 2006.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

---

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, James C. King, Attorney Registration No. 0000774, last known business address in Lima, Ohio.

{¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of October 27, 2004, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent for a period of two years with the second year stayed, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A). Therefore,

{¶ 3} IT IS ORDERED by this court that respondent be, and hereby is, reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 4} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. King*, 103 Ohio St.3d 438, 2004-Ohio-5470, 816 N.E.2d 1040.

MOYER, C.J., RESNICK, PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'CONNOR, O'DONNELL and LANZINGER, JJ., concur.

---