The Supreme Court of Phio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

February 13, 2006

[Cite as 02/13/2006 Case Announcements, 2006-Ohio-594.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2001-0835. State v. Hoffner.

Lucas App. No. L-95-181. This cause came on for further consideration of appellant's motion for appointment of counsel for purposes of filing an application for reopening pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XI(6). Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted in part, and attorney David Doughten is appointed to represent appellant for the purposes of filing an application for reopening.

2005-1432. In re Guardianship of Schneider.

Medina App. No. 05CA0050-M. This cause came on for further consideration of appellant's motions to remove Judge Kenneth Bailey and for recusal of Chief Justice Moyer. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motions are denied.

2005-1652. In re Guardianship of Schneider.

Medina App. No. 05CA0050-M. This cause came on for further consideration of appellant's motions to remove Judge Kenneth Bailey and for recusal of Chief Justice Moyer. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motions are denied.

2005-1678. State v. Hale.

Cuyahoga C.P. No. CR-04-54857. This cause is pending as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas for Cuyahoga County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for an extension of time to transmit the record,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the time for transmitting the record is extended to March 8, 2006.

2005-1926. LeRoy v. Allen Yurasek & Merklin.

Union App. No. 14-04-49, 162 Ohio App3d. 155, 2005-Ohio-4452. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Union County. On February 8, 2006, this court granted appellants' motion to consolidate this case with 2005-1593, *LeRoy v. Allen Yurasek & Merklin*, Union App. No. 14-04-49, 162 Ohio App3d. 155, 2005-Ohio-4452, and ordered the parties to combine the briefing of the two cases. Whereas, the party alignment is different in each case,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the parties shall file briefs according to the alignment of the parties in case No. 2005-1593 and in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. VI(5).

2005-2208. 12610 Kirby Ave. LLC v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2004-V-257. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of the parties' joint motion to remand this cause to the Board of Tax Appeals,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted and this cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to implement the settlement agreement of the parties.

2005-2264. State v. Diar.

Lorain C.P. No. 04CR065248. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas for Lorain County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for an extension of time to transmit the record,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the time for transmitting the record is extended to March 17, 2006.

2006-0046. Satullo v. Wilkins.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-M-2115. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears to the court that the notice of appeal filed in this case does not contain a proof of filing with the Board of Tax Appeals as required by R.C. 5717.04. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellants are to show cause, within twenty days of the date of this entry, why this appeal should not be dismissed for failure to comply with R.C. 5717.04. Appellee may file a reply to appellants' response within ten days after the filing of appellants' response.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2006-0032. State ex rel. DeJacimo v. Griffing.

2 02-13-06

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following case has been returned to the regular docket pursuant to $S.Ct.Prac.R.\ XIV(6)(E)$:

2005-1808. State ex rel. Smith v. Veach Trucking, Inc. Franklin App. No. 04AP-1229, 2005-Ohio-4923.

3 02-13-06