

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

October 31, 2006

[Cite as *10/31/2006 Case Announcements*, 2006-Ohio-5661.]

---

### DISCIPLINARY CASES

**2004-469. Disciplinary Counsel v. Conese.**

On September 22, 2006, respondent, Mark A. Conese, Attorney Registration No. 0004637, last known business address in Hamilton, Ohio, filed an application for termination of probation. Upon consideration thereof, the court finds that respondent has substantially complied with Gov.Bar R. V(9)(D) and with its order dated August 4, 2004, in which the court suspended respondent for a period of two years, stayed the entire two years, and placed him on probation for two years.

Therefore, it is ordered by this court that the probation of respondent is terminated.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

**2006-1198. Disciplinary Counsel v. Watterson.**

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. Upon consideration of respondent's second motion for recusal of Chief Justice, the Ohio Supreme Court, the two panel members serving as judges for the Supreme Court in the prior case, and the two prosecutors mandating the complete reversal of the prior disciplinary case and dismissal of case,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

Mike Fain, J., of the Second Appellate District, sitting for Resnick, J.

### MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

**2004-1130. Disciplinary Counsel v. Cotton.**

This matter is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board on the Unauthorized Practice of Law. On September 28, 2006, respondent filed a motion for leave to file objections. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by this court that the motion is granted.

It is further ordered that the respondent or relator may show cause why the recommendation of the board should not be confirmed by the court and an appropriate order entered.

It is further ordered that any objections to the findings of fact or recommendation of the board, together with a brief in support thereof, shall be due on or before 20 days from the date of this order and accompanied by 18 copies. It is further ordered that the objections and brief in support include proof of service of copies on the secretary of the board and all counsel of record. It is further ordered that an answer brief and proof of service may be filed within 15 days after a brief in support of objections has been filed. It is further ordered that the answer brief be accompanied by 18 copies.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

**MEDIATION REFERRALS**

The following case has been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(E):

**2006-1421. State ex rel. Autozone, Inc. v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 05AP-634, 2006-Ohio-2959.