

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

September 5, 2006

[Cite as *09/05/2006 Case Announcements*, 2006-Ohio-4528.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2006-1557. State ex rel. Ludt v. Sciortino.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relators' motion for an expedited alternative writ and expedited briefing schedule,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

O'Donnell, J., dissents and would grant an alternative writ.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

1999-2261. Cuyahoga Cty. Bar Assn. v. Meros.

This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of a motion to vacate or, in the alternative, verified petition for reinstatement by respondent, Thomas L. Meros. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

2003-1533. Disciplinary Counsel v. Travis.

On application for reinstatement of Christopher T. Travis, Attorney Registration No. 0067699. Application granted and respondent reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission on
Continuing Legal Education.



Case No. CLE-05-67699

Christopher Thomas Travis
(#0067699)
Respondent.



O R D E R

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2001-2002 reporting period.

On December 5, 2003, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On August 24, 2006, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. On August 24, 2006, respondent satisfied all the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Christopher Thomas Travis, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

2006-1556. State ex rel. Gager v. Indus. Comm.
Franklin App. No. 05AP-945, 2006-Ohio-3811.

The following case has been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(E):

2006-1113. Cuyahoga Hts. Local School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2003-H-601, 2003-H-602, 2003-H-604, 2003-H-605, 2003-H-606, 2003-H-607, 2003-H-611, and 2003-H-612.