IN RE OHIO CRIMINAL SENTENCING STATUTES CASES.

[Cite as In re Ohio Criminal Sentencing Statutes Cases,

110 Ohio St.3d 156, 2006-Ohio-4086.]

Criminal law — Felonies — Sentencing — Cases accepted and disposed of on the authority of State v. Foster.

(Submitted July 18, 2006 — Decided August 23, 2006.)

{¶ 1} The following dispositions of currently pending appeals are hereby entered based on our decision in *State v. Foster*, 109 Ohio St.3d 1, 2006-Ohio-856, 845 N.E.2d 470.

MOYER, C.J., RESNICK, PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'CONNOR, O'DONNELL and LANZINGER, JJ., concur.

 $\{\P\ 2\}$ Discretionary appeals are accepted in the following cases, the judgments of the courts of appeals are reversed, and the causes are remanded to the trial courts for resentencing. If propositions of law are noted, the reversals apply only to those portions of the judgments of the courts of appeals that are implicated by the applicable propositions of law:

- $\{\P\ 3\}$ 2006-0097. *State v. Moctezuma*, Lucas App. No. L-04-1347, 2005-Ohio-5569. Accepted on Proposition of Law No. I.
- $\{\P 4\}$ 2006-0621. *State v. Bonner*, Summit App. No. 22676, 2006-Ohio-516. Accepted on Proposition of Law No. I.
- $\{\P 5\}$ 2006-0709. *State v. Hill*, Lucas App. No. L-05-1080, 2006-Ohio-859. Accepted on Proposition of Law No. III.
- $\{\P 6\}$ 2006-0749. *State v. Moviel*, Cuyahoga App. No. 86244, 2006-Ohio-697. Accepted on Proposition of Law No. IV.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

 \P 7} 2006-0764. *State v. Berardi*, Cuyahoga App. No. 86422, 2006-Ohio-797. Accepted on Proposition of Law No. I.
