

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

August 7, 2006

[Cite as *08/07/2006 Case Announcements, 2006-Ohio-4000.*]

---

### DISCIPLINARY CASES

**2001-1856. Cleveland Bar Assn. v. Judge.**

**2002-0861. Cuyahoga Cty. Bar Assn. v. Judge.**

These causes came on for further consideration upon the filing on July 21, 2005, of petitions for reinstatement by respondent, Thomas J. Judge, Attorney Registration No. 0024839. In accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(10)(F), respondent's petitions for reinstatement were referred to the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. The board filed its final report in this court on February 28, 2006, recommending that respondent be granted reinstatement to the practice of law in Ohio. On June 7, 2006, this court issued orders requiring respondent to show cause within 20 days why his petitions for reinstatement should not be denied for failure to fulfill continuing legal education requirements set forth in the court's orders and Gov.Bar R. X(3)(G). Respondent did not respond to the show cause orders. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by this court that the petitions for reinstatement of respondent are denied.

It is further ordered that respondent be taxed the costs of these proceedings in the amount of \$804.20, less the deposit of \$1,000, for a total balance to be refunded to respondent of \$195.80.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, that within 90 days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded against the respondent by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered, sua sponte, by the court that if, after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against the respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F), the respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within 90 days of the notice of such award.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

**2004-2144. Cuyahoga Cty. Bar Assn. v. Jurczenko.**

On August 24, 2005, this court suspended respondent, Alexander Jurczenko, for a period of two years with one year stayed on conditions. On February 14, 2006, relator, Cuyahoga County Bar Association, filed a motion for an order to appear and show cause, requesting the court to issue an order directing respondent to appear and show cause why he should not be found in contempt for his failure to comply with this court's August 24, 2005 order. On March 27, 2006, this court granted that motion and advised respondent to file a written response. Respondent did not file a response to the show-cause order. Respondent was ordered to appear before the court on July 18, 2006. Respondent did not appear. Accordingly,

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that respondent is found in contempt and ordered to serve seven days in jail. It is further ordered that this sentence is suspended on the conditions that respondent fully complies with all orders of this court, returns all files to former clients within 30 days of the date of this order, returns client funds to clients within 30 days of the date of this order, and immediately ceases the practice of law. It is further ordered that respondent's failure to obey any of these conditions will result in incarceration.

It is further ordered that the stay of the second year of respondent's suspension is revoked and respondent shall serve the two year suspension imposed by the court on August 24, 2005, as an actual period of suspension.

**2006-0776. Lake County Bar Assn. v. Sasse'.**

This cause is before the court upon the filing of a final report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio. The report recommends that the respondent, Cynthia A. Sasse', Attorney Registration No. 0042071, be suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for a period of one year pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3).

By order dated August 3, 2006, the court accepted respondent's resignation from the practice of law as a resignation with disciplinary action pending, in case No. 2006-0828, *In re Resignation of Sasse'*. Pursuant to the court's order and Gov.Bar R. V(11)(G), the resignation is unconditional, final, and irrevocable.

Accordingly, it is ordered by the court that case No. 2006-0776, *Lake Cty. Bar Assn. v. Sasse'*, is dismissed as moot.

**2006-1159. In re Lemieux.**

This cause is before the court upon the filing by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of a certified copy of a judgment entry of a felony conviction against James M. Lemieux, Attorney Registration No. 0032701.

By order dated August 3, 2006, the court accepted respondent's resignation from the practice of law as a resignation with disciplinary action pending in case No. 2006-1098, *In re Resignation of Lemieux*. Pursuant to the court's order and Gov.Bar R. V(11)(G), the resignation is unconditional, final, and irrevocable.

Accordingly, it is ordered by the court that case No. 2006-1159, *In re Lemieux*, is dismissed as moot.