

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

January 30, 2006

[Cite as *01/30/2006 Case Announcements*, 2006-Ohio-318.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITHOUT OPINIONS

2005-1900. Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. v. Pub. Util. Comm.

Public Utilities Commission, No. 02-220-GA-GCR. On motion to dismiss. Motion to dismiss granted. Cause dismissed.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell and Lanzinger, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, J. dissents.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2004-0495. Disciplinary Counsel v. King.

On application for reinstatement of James C. King, Attorney Registration No. 0000774. Application granted and respondent reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2005-2378. Lawrence v. Edon.

Williams App. No. WM-05-001, 2005-Ohio-5883. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. On December 19, 2005, when this appeal was filed, a check in the amount of \$40.00 was submitted by counsel for appellant to satisfy the requirement of the docket fee imposed by R.C. 2503.17 and S.Ct.Prac.R. XV(1). This court has been informed by the Office of the Treasurer of the State of Ohio that the check was returned because of insufficient funds. Whereas R.C. 2503.17 and S.Ct.Prac.R. XV(1) require that the docket fee shall be paid before a notice of appeal is filed or a case is docketed,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that this cause is dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission on
Continuing Legal Education.

Matthew Paul Kammerer
(#0055438)
Respondent.

ORDER

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2000-2001 reporting period.

On April 10, 2003, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court, and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On January 11, 2006, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. On January 24, 2006, respondent satisfied all the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Matthew Paul Kammerer, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.