

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

June 1, 2006

[Cite as *06/01/2006 Case Announcements*, 2006-Ohio-2702.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2006-0878. State ex rel. Evans v. Blackwell.

Franklin App. No. 06AP-6, 2006-Ohio-2076. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's amended motion for immediate expedited consideration,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is denied.

Moyer, C.J., would grant the amended motion for immediate expedited consideration and issue an order accelerating the record transmission and briefing schedule.

Resnick and O'Donnell, JJ., not participating.

2006-0934. Poss v. Morris.

Ashtabula App. No. 2004-A-0093, 2006-Ohio-1441. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of lower court's decision and imposition of sentence,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is denied.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2006-0124. Disciplinary Counsel v. Frazier.

This cause is pending before the court on the report and recommendation of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. On May 9, 2006, respondent filed letters of recommendation with the court. Whereas the document does not consist of a list of citations to additional authorities as permitted by

S.Ct.Prac.R. IX(7) and is not otherwise permitted under the Rules of Practice as a filing after completion of merit briefing,

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that the document is stricken.

2006-0395. Akron Bar Assn. v. Holder.

This matter is pending before the court on the final report of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline recommending discipline of respondent. This court issued an order to show cause in this matter on March 16, 2006. On April 17, 2006, respondent filed objections. On April 27, 2006, relator filed a motion to strike evidence not in the record or in the alternative for leave to supplement the record to respond to such evidence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that relator's motion to strike evidence not in the record is granted.

Resnick, J., would grant leave to supplement the record to respond to such evidence.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

On December 2, 2005, the following attorneys were suspended from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VI(6)(B) for failing to file their 2005/2007 Certificate of Registration and paying the applicable fees on or before December 1, 2005. It has now come to the Supreme Court's attention that the following attorneys were deceased prior to December 1, 2005. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the suspension entered against the following attorneys be and hereby is vacated:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Attorney Reg. No.</u>	<u>City and State</u>
Charles Joseph Acker	44423	South Euclid, OH
James C. Baggott	53255	Dayton, OH
Thomas Adrian Carpenter	44408	Tiffin, OH
Lisa Anne Clifford	74185	Cleveland, OH
Constantine Gianuglou	12590	Dayton, OH
Francis X. McCarthy	49989	Cincinnati, OH
Paul Michael McKosky	32567	Lake Havasu City, AZ
Thomas Owen Miller	22943	Columbus, OH
Richard Gordon Ranke	51561	Cleveland Heights, OH
Gordon Strauss	54450	Cincinnati, OH
Robert Norton Zanville	56735	Toledo, OH



In re Report of the Commission on
Continuing Legal Education.

Case No. CLE-05-43115

Jeffrey Alan Perlmutter
(#0043115)
Respondent.

O R D E R

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2003-2004 reporting period.

On May 16, 2006, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On May 25, 2006, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies, and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. On May 26, 2006, respondent satisfied all the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Jeffrey Alan Perlmutter, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.