

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

May 23, 2006

[Cite as *05/23/2006 Case Announcements*, 2006-Ohio-2517.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2005-2084. Knust v. Wilkins.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2004-M-533. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of the motion of amici curiae, Rome P. Busa Jr. and Anthony J. Busa, requesting leave to participate in oral argument,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is denied.

2006-0891. Brown v. State.

Lucas App. No. L-05-1050, 2006-Ohio-1393. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellee's motion to strike appellant's notice of appeal and memorandum in support of jurisdiction,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that appellee may file a memorandum in response to appellant's memorandum in support of jurisdiction within 30 days of the date of this entry.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2005-1789. State ex rel. John Q. Public v. Etna Twp. Bd. of Trustees.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission on
Continuing Legal Education.

Case No. CLE-05-54081

Carolyn D. Peterson
(#0054081)
Respondent.

O R D E R

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2003-2004 reporting period.

On May 16, 2006, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On May 18, 2006, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during her suspension by this court’s order of suspension. On May 17, 2006, respondent satisfied all the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Carolyn D. Peterson, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.