

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

May 19, 2006

[Cite as *05/19/2006 Case Announcements*, 2006-Ohio-2453.]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITHOUT OPINIONS

### **2006-0521. Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Pub. Util. Comm.**

Public Utilities Commission, No. 05-297-RR-FED. On motion to dismiss. Motion to dismiss granted. Cause dismissed.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell and Lanzinger, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer and O'Connor, JJ., dissent.

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

### **2006-0945. State ex rel. Plain Dealer Publishing Co. v. Floyd.**

In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus and prohibition. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that an alternative writ be granted and the following briefing schedule is set for presentation of evidence and filing of briefs pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. X:

The parties shall file any evidence they intend to present within 20 days of the date of this entry; relator shall file its brief within 10 days after the filing of evidence; respondents shall file their brief within 20 days after the filing of relator's brief; and relator may file a reply brief within 7 days after the filing of respondents brief.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

## RECONSIDERATION OF PRIOR DECISIONS

### **2006-0111. State v. Lamarr.**

Logan App. No. 8-04-39, 2005-Ohio-6030. Reported at 109 Ohio St.3d 1419, 2006-Ohio-1978, 846 N.E.2d 530. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

**2006-0378. State ex rel. McCray v. West.**

Hamilton App. No. C-050955. Reported at 109 Ohio St.3d 1448, 2006-Ohio-2158, \_\_\_ N.E.2d \_\_\_. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.