

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

December 12, 2005

[Cite as *12/12/2005 Case Announcements*, 2005-Ohio-6530.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2005-1633. Am. Retirement Corp. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2004-P-410. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of the parties' joint motion to remand this cause to the Board of Tax Appeals,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted and this cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to implement the settlement agreement of the parties.

2005-1932. Time Warner Operations, Inc. v. Wilkins.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-R-1811. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's motion to consolidate this appeal with 2005-1933, *Time Warner Entertainment v. Wilkins*, Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-R-1810,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the parties shall combine the briefing of 2005-1932 and 2005-1933 and file one brief for each permitted under S.Ct.Prac.R. VI, the parties shall file an original of the brief in each case and 18 copies of the brief, and the parties shall otherwise comply with the requirements of S.Ct.Prac.R. VI.

2005-1933. Time Warner Entertainment v. Wilkins.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-R-1810. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's amended motion to consolidate this appeal with 2005-1932, *Time Warner Operations, Inc. v. Wilkins*, Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-R-1811,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the parties shall combine the briefing of 2005-1932 and 2005-1933 and file one brief for each permitted under S.Ct.Prac.R. VI, the parties shall file an original of the brief in each case and 18 copies of the brief, and the parties shall otherwise comply with the requirements of S.Ct.Prac.R. VI.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2005-1747. State ex rel. McCarty v. Brunzman.

Ross App. No. 05CA2861. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Ross County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due December 7, 2005, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause is dismissed, sua sponte.