

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

August 18, 2005

[Cite as *08/18/2005 Case Announcements*, 2005-Ohio-4269.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2002-2241. State v. Monroe.

Franklin C.P. No. 01CR042118. This cause came on for further consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution scheduled for August 23, 2005. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this stay shall remain in effect until exhaustion of all state postconviction proceedings, including any appeals.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for appellant and for the appellee shall notify this court when all proceedings for postconviction relief before the courts of this state have been exhausted.

2004-1482. Cramer v. Archdiocese of Cincinnati.

Hamilton App. Nos. C-030827 and C-040061, 2004-Ohio-3891. This cause came on for further consideration of appellants' emergency motion for equitable relief. Whereas the motion is neither a permissible filing under S.Ct.Prac.R. XI, nor properly filed as a notice of certified conflict under S.Ct.Prac.R. IV,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is stricken.

2005-0848. State v. Andrews.

Cuyahoga App. No. 84137, 2005-Ohio-1161.

This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. It appearing to the court that appellant is without counsel,

IT IS ORDERED that the court of appeals shall appoint counsel for appellant pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(7).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this entry shall be sent to the court of appeals by the Clerk of this court and that appointed counsel shall file a copy of the court of appeals' entry of appointment with the Clerk of this court.

2005-0941. State v. Suttles.

Hamilton App. No. C-030908. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County. It appearing to the court that appellant is without counsel,

IT IS ORDERED that the court of appeals shall appoint counsel for appellant pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(7).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this entry shall be sent to the court of appeals by the Clerk of this court and that appointed counsel shall file a copy of the court of appeals' entry of appointment with the Clerk of this court.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2004-0065. Disciplinary Counsel v. Jaffe. This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, David I. Jaffe, Attorney Registration No. 0005153, last known business address in Solon, Ohio.

The court coming now to consider its order of June 9, 2004, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent for a period of two years with the second year stayed on conditions, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A). Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that respondent be and hereby is, reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. Jaffe*, 102 Ohio St.3d 273, 2004-Ohio-2685, 809 N.E.2d 1122.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2004-1036. Soltz v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals No. 2002-N-2416. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2005-1209. Hilbert v. Ottawa Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals No. 2004-A-667. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellants' application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.