

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

April 25, 2005

[Cite as *04/25/2005 Case Announcements*, 2005-Ohio-1887.]

---

### MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

**2005-0190. Wears v. Motorists Mut. Ins. Co.**

Summit App. No. 22027, 2004-Ohio-6734 and 2005-Ohio-341. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

**2005-0557. Strongsville Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-G-663. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

### MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission  
On Continuing Legal Education.

Andrew David Bowers  
(#0071486),

E N T R Y

Respondent.



This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2002-2003 reporting period.

On April 8, 2005, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On April 19, 2005, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On April 19, 2005, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Andrew David Bowers, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.