

[Cite as *State ex rel. Maynard v. Invensys Application Controls*, 105 Ohio St.3d 176, 2005-Ohio-1147.]

**THE STATE EX REL. MAYNARD, APPELLANT, v. INVENSYS APPLICATION  
CONTROLS ET AL., APPELLEES.**

**[Cite as *State ex rel. Maynard v. Invensys Application Controls*,  
105 Ohio St.3d 176, 2005-Ohio-1147.]**

*Workers' compensation — Court of appeals' judgment affirmed.*

(No. 2004-0924 — Submitted February 15, 2005 — Decided March 30, 2005.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County,

No. 03AP-371, 2004-Ohio-2638.

---

{¶ 1} The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed consistent with the opinion of the court of appeals.

MOYER, C.J., RESNICK, PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'CONNOR, O'DONNELL and LANZINGER, JJ., concur.

---

Michael J. Muldoon, for appellant.

Roetzel & Andress, L.P.A., Charles D. Smith, and Eric S. Bravo, for appellee Invensys Application Controls.

Jim Petro, Attorney General, and Paul H. Tonks, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee Industrial Commission of Ohio.

---