The Supreme Court of Phio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

March 14, 2005

[Cite as 03/14/2005 Case Announcements, 2005-Ohio-1038.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2005-0260. State ex rel. Florence v. Zitter.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition and was considered in a manner prescribed by law. Upon consideration of respondent's motion to dismiss or, alternatively, motion for summary judgment,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that an alternative writ be, and hereby is, granted and the following briefing schedule is set for presentation of evidence and filing of briefs pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. X:

The parties shall file any evidence they intend to present within 20 days of the date of this entry; relator shall file her brief within 10 days after the filing of evidence; respondent shall file her brief within 20 days after the filing of relator's brief; and relator may file a reply brief within 5 days after the filing of respondent's brief.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the motion to dismiss and motion for summary judgment be, and hereby are, denied.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2004-1536. State ex rel. Harris v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 03AP-992, 2004-Ohio-4225. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

2004-2044. Clearfield Village Ltd. v. Fulton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2003-J-874. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

2005-0431. State ex rel. Edwards v. Am. Bldg. Maintenance Co.

Franklin App. No. 04AP-115, 2005-Ohio-357.

The following case has been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(E):

2005-0156. State ex rel. Dixon v. Airborne Express.

Franklin App. No. 04AP-155, 2004-Ohio-7195.

2 03-14-05