

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

February 20, 2004

---

### MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**1990-1780. State ex rel. Internatl. Union of Operating Engineers v. Cleveland.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 57729. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. Upon consideration of appellants' motion for leave to respond to appellee's reply brief,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for leave be, and hereby is, denied.

**2003-1484. State ex rel. Watkins v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 02AP-337, 2003-Ohio-3109. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for extension of time to file merit brief pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time be, and hereby is, granted, and appellant's merit brief is due on or before April 9, 2004.

### MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

**2003-2162. State ex rel. Forrest v. Anchor Hocking Consumer Glass.**

Franklin App. No. 03AP-190, 2003-Ohio-6077. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

**2004-0131. Sunset Dev./Sugar Creek, Ltd. v. Greene Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2002-G-2000. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

**MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

In re Report of the Commission :  
on Continuing Legal Education. :

E N T R Y

Christine Julia Ivy-Patterson :  
(#0033562), :  
Respondent. :

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education ("commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1994-1995 reporting period.

On April 14, 1997, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court, and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On February 5, 2004, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On February 5, 2004, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court's order of suspension. On February 13, 2004, respondent satisfied all of the requirements of this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission be adopted and that respondent, Christine Julia Ivy-Patterson, be hereby reinstated to the practice of law.