The Supreme Court of Phio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

December 30, 2004

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2004-1299. State v. Hawkins, 2004-Ohio-7124.

Cuyahoga App. No. 82465, 2004-Ohio-855. Discretionary appeal accepted, judgment reversed and cause remanded.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton and O'Donnell, JJ., concur. F.E. Sweeney and O'Connor, JJ., dissent.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2004-1689. State v. Massey.

Stark App. No. 2003CA00373, 2004-Ohio-3257. On review of order certifying a conflict. The court determines that a conflict exists. Sua sponte, cause consolidated with 2004-1274, *State v. Massey*, Stark App. No. 2003CA00373, 2004-Ohio-3257; and briefing schedule stayed.

F.E. Sweeney, J., dissents.

RECONSIDERATION OF PRIOR DECISIONS

2004-1189. State ex rel. Highlander v. Rudduck.

In Mandamus. Reported at 103 Ohio St.3d 370, 2004-Ohio-4952, 816 N.E.2d 213. On petitioner's motion for reconsideration of denial of attorney fees. Motion denied.

Resnick, J., dissents.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2004-1457. State v. Stricker.

Franklin App. No. 03AP-746, 2004-Ohio-3557. This cause is pending before the court on the certification of a conflict by the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appearing to the court that the court of appeals has reconsidered its order certifying a conflict in this case, and upon consideration of appellee's motion to dismiss,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to dismiss be, and hereby is, granted and that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission on Continuing Legal Education.

ORDER

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys and judges, the respondents herein, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X and Gov.Jud.R. IV, Continuing Legal Education, for the 2002-2003 reporting period.

On November 22, 2004, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(1), this court issued to each respondent an order to show cause on or before December 22, 2004, why the commission's recommendation should not be adopted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(2), the commission may file an answer brief to any objections within fifteen days of the filing of the objections.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the commission may consolidate its responses to the respondents' objections in a single answer brief that shall be filed no later than February 4, 2005.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the notice and service requirements of Gov.Bar R. X(6)(C) shall not apply to this order; and announcement and publication of this order by the Supreme Court Reporter in the Ohio Official Reports and the Ohio State Bar Association Report shall constitute notice to the respondents.

2 12-30-04