

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

November 16, 2004

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2001-0253. State v. Bryan.

Cuyahoga C.P. No. CR-393-660. This cause came on for further consideration upon appellant's motion for reconsideration of this court's October 27, 2004, order denying appellant's application for reopening. Whereas appellant's motion for reconsideration is not expressly permitted by S.Ct.Prac.R. XI(2)(A),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellant's motion for reconsideration be, and hereby is, stricken.

2001-0871. State v. Ahmed.

Belmont C.P. No. 99-CR-192. This cause came on for further consideration upon appellant's motion to retain the record in this court pending further proceedings. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to retain the record be, and hereby is, granted and that the Clerk of this court shall not return the record to the trial court until final disposition of appellant's application for reopening.

2004-1269. State ex rel. Eckerly v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 03AP-621, 2004-Ohio-3934. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. On October 29, 2004, appellant filed a supplement to his merit brief which did not contain a certificate of service. Whereas S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(2)(C)(1) prohibits the filing of any document which does not contain a certificate of service,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellant's supplement to his merit brief be, and hereby is, stricken.

2004-1837. State ex rel. Bunting v. Haas.

Stark App. No. 2003CA00328. This cause was filed as a claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's jurisdictional memorandum, it is determined by the court that this cause originated in the court of appeals and therefore should proceed as an appeal of right pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. II(1)(A)(1).

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the Clerk shall issue an order for the transmittal of the record from the Court of Appeals for Stark County. Appellant shall file his merit brief within forty days of the filing of the record with the Clerk of this court, and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. VI.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Motion for leave to file
motion for reconsideration by
Gregory T. Howard.



O R D E R

On September 24, 2004, this court found Gregory T. Howard to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(5)(B). This court further ordered that Howard was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On October 28, 2004, this court denied Howard's motion for leave to file a new discretionary appeal. On November 2, 2004, Howard filed a motion for leave to seek reconsideration of that denial. Upon review of the proffered filing, the court finds it to be without merit. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that Gregory T. Howard's November 2, 2004, motion for leave be, and hereby is, denied.