

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

October 14, 2004

RECONSIDERATION OF PRIOR DECISIONS

2003-0922. State v. Conese.

Butler App. No. CA2002-06-148, 2003-Ohio-1769. Reported at 102 Ohio St.3d 435, 2004-Ohio-3889, 812 N.E.2d 306. On motion for reconsideration. Motion granted to the following extent. The opinion announced on August 4, 2004, is modified as follows:

¶ 14 is modified to read as follows:

{¶14} Accordingly, we reverse the judgment of the court of appeals and remand the cause to the court of appeals for consideration of appellant's remaining assignments of error and further action not inconsistent with this opinion.

Sheila G. Farmer, J., of the Fifth Appellate District, sitting for Resnick, J.

2004-1189. State ex rel. Highlander v. Rudduck.

In Mandamus. Reported at ____ Ohio St.3d ____, 2004-Ohio-4952. On respondents' motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. :

E N T R Y

Darlene Sue Rea :
(#0064426), :
Respondent. :

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2001-2002 reporting period.

On December 5, 2003, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On September 8, 2004, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On September 8, 2004, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during her suspension by this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Darlene Sue Rea, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.