

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

September 28, 2004

---

### DISCIPLINARY CASES

**2004-1430. In re Broschak.**

On August 27, 2004, and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(A), the Secretary of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio submitted to this court a certified copy of a determination of default of a child support order by Thomas J. Broschak, an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of Ohio.

Upon consideration thereof and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(4), it is ordered and decreed that Thomas J. Broschak, Attorney Registration No. 0019547, last known business address in Hilliard, Ohio, be, and hereby is, suspended from the practice of law for an interim period, effective as of the date of this entry.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter be, and hereby is, referred to the Disciplinary Counsel for investigation and commencement of disciplinary proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Thomas J. Broschak immediately cease and desist from the practice of law in any form and hereby is forbidden to appear on behalf of another before any court, judge, commission, board, administrative agency or other public authority.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, effective immediately, he be forbidden to counsel or advise, or prepare legal instruments for others or in any manner perform legal services for others.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that he hereby is divested of each, any and all of the rights, privileges and prerogatives customarily accorded to a member in good standing of the legal profession of Ohio.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law until (1) the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline files in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(1)(b) with the Supreme Court a certified copy of a judgment entry reversing the determination of default under a

child support order, or it files in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(1)(c) with the Supreme Court a notice from a court or child support enforcement agency that respondent is no longer in default under a child support order or is subject to a withholding or deduction notice or a new or modified child support order to collect current support or any arrearage due under the child support order that was in default and is complying with that notice or order, and (2) this court orders respondent reinstated to the practice of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent shall keep the Clerk and the Disciplinary Counsel advised of any change of address where respondent may receive communications.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent address respondent has given to the attorney registration office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor and O'Donnell, JJ., concur.

## **MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

### **2004-1488. State ex rel. Vasi v. Jones.**

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

## **MEDIATION REFERRALS**

### **2004-1351. State ex rel. Dayton Newspaper, Inc. v Petro.**

In Mandamus. The court refers this case to mediation under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6). The court will not issue any decision on the merits of this case until mediation has concluded.

**2004-1421. State ex rel. Chesnick v. Nestle USA – Prepared Foods Div., Inc.**

Franklin App. Nos. 03AP-628 and 03AP-857. The court refers this case to mediation under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6), and stays the briefing schedule for this case until further notice. The court will not issue any decision on the merits of this case until mediation has concluded. The lifting of this stay of briefing or the return of this case to the court's regular docket will begin de novo the time for briefing prescribed in S.Ct.Prac.R. VI.