

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

April 26, 2004

---

### MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2002-1732. State ex rel. Prusinski v. Romanoff.**

In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for writs of mandamus and prohibition. On November 19, 2002, this court referred this case to mediation under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6). It appears to the court that this case has not been resolved by mediation; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the parties show cause, within ten days of the date of this entry, why this case should not be returned to the regular docket for decision.

**2003-1081. Gilchrist v. Gonsor.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 80944, 2003-Ohio-2297. This cause is pending before the court on the certification of a conflict by the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. Upon consideration of appellants' motion to vacate the order allowing amicus curiae Ohio Academy of Trial Lawyers leave to participate in oral argument scheduled for May 25, 2004,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and hereby is, granted, and that amicus curiae Ohio Academy of Trial Lawyers shall not be permitted to participate in oral argument.

**2003-1092. Gilchrist v. Gonsor.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 80944, 2003-Ohio-2297. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. Upon consideration of appellants' motion to vacate the order allowing amicus curiae Ohio Academy of Trial Lawyers leave to participate in oral argument scheduled for May 25, 2004,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and hereby is, granted, and that amicus curiae Ohio Academy of Trial Lawyers shall not be permitted to participate in oral argument.

**2004-0273. Federated Dept. Stores v. Wilkins.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2002-V-103. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for extension of time to file merit brief pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time be, and hereby is, granted to the extent that appellant's merit brief is due on or before June 7, 2004.

-----