# The Supreme Court of Ohio

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

January 2, 2004

#### MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

# 2003-1441. State v. Roberts.

Trumbull C.P. No. 01CR793. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Trumbull County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for extension of time to transmit the record,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time to transmit the record be, and hereby is, granted, and the time for transmitting the record is extended to January 31, 2004.

# 2003-1901. State ex rel. Campbell v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-1253, 2003-Ohio-4824. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay to pursue settlement under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay be, and hereby is, granted to the extent that the time for filing appellant's merit brief is extended to January 20, 2004.

# **2003-1904.** State v. Ferguson.

Montgomery C.P. No. 2002CR0353. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution pending appeal to this court,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay be, and hereby is, granted.

#### RECONSIDERATION OF PRIOR DECISIONS

2003-0967. Cleveland Mun. School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision, .

Cuyahoga App. No. 81507, 2003-Ohio-1728. Reported at 100 Ohio St.3d 1537, 2003-Ohio-6733, 800 N.E.2d 371.

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for reconsideration in this case be, and hereby is, denied.

#### **DISCIPLINARY CASES**

#### 2003-1844. In re Muhlbach.

On December 3, 2003, and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(3), the Secretary of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio certified to the Supreme Court a certified copy of a judgment entry of a felony conviction against Peter Michael Muhlbach, an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of Ohio.

Upon consideration thereof and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(4), it is ordered and decreed that Peter Michael Muhlbach, Attorney Registration No. 0058412, last known business address in Parma, Ohio, be, and hereby is, suspended from the practice of law for an interim period, effective as of the date of this entry.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter be, and is hereby, referred to the Cuyahoga County Bar Association for investigation and commencement of disciplinary proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent immediately cease and desist from the practice of law in any form and is hereby forbidden to appear on behalf of another before any court, judge, commission, board, administrative agency or other public authority.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, effective immediately, respondent be forbidden to counsel or advise, or prepare legal instruments for others or in any manner perform legal services for others.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent is hereby divested of each, any and all of the rights, privileges and prerogatives customarily accorded to a member in good standing of the legal profession of Ohio.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(3)(G), respondent shall complete one credit hour of continuing legal education for each month, or portion of a month of the suspension. As part of the total credit hours of continuing legal education required by Gov.Bar R. X(3)(G), respondent shall complete one credit hour of instruction related to professional conduct required by

Gov.Bar R. X(3)(A)(1), for each six months, or portion of six months, of the suspension.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until (1) respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; (2) respondent complies with this and all other orders issued by this court; (3) respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; and (4) this court orders respondent reinstated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, by the court, that within 90 days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered, sua sponte, by the court that if, after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against the respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F), the respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within 90 days of the notice of such award.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before 30 days from the date of this order, respondent shall:

- 1. Notify all clients being represented in pending matters and any cocounsel of respondent's suspension and consequent disqualification to act as an attorney after the effective date of this order and, in the absence of co-counsel, also notify the clients to seek legal service elsewhere, calling attention to any urgency in seeking the substitution of another attorney in respondent's place;
- 2. Regardless of any fees or expenses due respondent, deliver to all clients being represented in pending matters any papers or other property pertaining to the client, or notify the clients or co-counsel, if any, of a suitable time and place where the papers or other property may be obtained, calling attention to any urgency for obtaining such papers or other property;
- 3. Refund any part of any fees or expenses paid in advance that are unearned or not paid, and account for any trust money or property in respondent's possession or control;
- 4. Notify opposing counsel in pending litigation or, in the absence of counsel, the adverse parties of respondent's disqualification to act as an attorney after the effective date of this order, and file a notice of disqualification of respondent with the court or agency before which the litigation is pending for inclusion in the respective file or files;
- 5. Send all such notices required by this order by certified mail with a return address where communications may thereafter be directed to respondent;
- 6. File with the Clerk of this court and the Disciplinary Counsel of the Supreme Court an affidavit showing compliance with this order, showing proof of

service of notices required herein, and setting forth the address where the affiant may receive communications; and

7. Retain and maintain a record of the various steps taken by respondent pursuant to this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent shall keep the Clerk, the Cuyahoga County Bar Association and the Disciplinary Counsel advised of any change of address where respondent may receive communications.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent address respondent has given to the attorney registration office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor and O'Donnell, JJ., concur.

#### MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

#### 1999-1241. Ameritech Ohio v. Pub. Util. Comm.

Public Utilities Commission, No. 971723-TP-CSS. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

#### 2003-1786. State ex rel. Kidwell v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-940, 2003-Ohio-4509. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due December 22, 2003, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is dismissed sua sponte.

## 2003-1803. State ex rel. Gen. Elec. Co. v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 03AP-8, 2003-Ohio-4702. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due December 22, 2003, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

#### 2003-1863. State v. Fisher.

Summit App. No. 20701, 2002-Ohio-3026. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due December 26, 2003, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is dismissed sua sponte.

#### MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following cases have been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(E):

## 2003-1899. State ex rel. Besser v. Columbus.

In Mandamus.