

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

September 22, 2003

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

1997-2003. State v. Lindsay.

Brown C.P. Nos. 97-20-15 and 97-20-64. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution scheduled for October 20, 2003, pending disposition of appeal now pending in the Twelfth District Court of Appeals,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay be and hereby is, denied.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

2003-1301. Hardy v. Lipps.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relator's motion to seal all documents and information of record in this case, respondent's motion to dismiss, and relator's motion to strike documents filed in response,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motions be, and hereby are, denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that an alternative writ be, and hereby is, granted and the following briefing schedule is set for presentation of evidence and filing of briefs pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. X:

The parties shall file any evidence they intend to present within 20 days of the date of this entry; relator shall file her brief within 10 days after the filing of evidence; respondent shall file his brief within 20 days after the filing of relator's brief; and relator may file a reply brief within 5 days after the filing of respondent's brief.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

2003-1496. State ex rel. United Foundries, Inc. v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-922, 2003-Ohio-3942.

2003-1587. State ex rel. Pratt v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-1155, 2003-Ohio-3815.