

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

January 30, 2003

MERIT DECISIONS WITHOUT OPINIONS

2002-2064. State ex rel. W. Sur. Co. v. Geauga Cty. Court of Common Pleas.

In Prohibition. On S.Ct.Prac.R. X(5) determination. On motion for leave to intervene of Patricia J. Schraff, successor trustee of the testamentary trust of Winona J. Hamm. Motion granted.

On respondent's motion to dismiss. Motion to dismiss sustained. Cause dismissed.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook, Lundberg Stratton and O'Connor, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2002-1454. State ex rel. Weist v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Commrs.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relators' motion for extension of time to file relators' merit brief pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time be, and hereby is, granted, and relators' merit brief is due on or before February 25, 2003.

2002-1455. State ex rel. Weist v. Mason.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relators' motion for extension of time to file relators' merit brief pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time be, and hereby is, granted, and relators' merit brief is due on or before February 25, 2003.

2002-1677. J&L Power Equip., Inc. v. Zaino.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 97-A-535. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal and cross-appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant/cross-appellee's motion for extension of time to file appellant/cross-appellee's merit brief pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time be, and hereby is, granted, and appellant's merit brief is due on or before March 3, 2003.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2002-1954. Jackson v. Cuyahoga Cty. Court of Common Pleas.

Cuyahoga App. No. 81589, 2002-Ohio-5980. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due January 23, 2003, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, sua sponte.

2003-0004. State ex rel. Verizon N., Inc. v. Pub. Util. Comm.

In Procedendo. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of procedendo. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

2002-2132. State ex rel. Burton v. Welbaum.

In Prohibition.

2003-0039. State ex rel. Warnock v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-359, 2002-Ohio-6739.

2003-0087. State ex rel. Wiley v. Whirlpool Corp.
Franklin App. No. 02AP-340, 2002-Ohio-6558.

2003-0117. State ex rel. Lockhart v. Indus. Comm.
Franklin App. No. 02AP-201, 2002-Ohio-6631.