

**OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. PORTER.**

[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Porter*, 97 Ohio St.3d 1221, 2002-Ohio-6774.]

*Attorneys at law — Misconduct — Reciprocal discipline from the United States  
District Court for the Northern District of Ohio — Public reprimand —  
Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F)(4).*

(No. 2002-1769 — Submitted November 27, 2002 — Decided December 2,  
2002.)

ON CERTIFIED ORDER of the United States District Court for the Northern District  
of Ohio, No. 2002-22.

---

{¶1} This cause is pending before the Supreme Court of Ohio in  
accordance with the reciprocal discipline provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F).

{¶2} On October 15, 2002, relator, Disciplinary Counsel, filed with this  
court a certified copy of an order of the United States District Court, Northern  
District of Ohio, entered March 13, 2002, in *In the Matter of Attorney  
Disciplinary Proceedings—Randall L. Porter*, case No. 2002-22, publicly  
reprimanding respondent, Randall Lee Porter. On October 21, 2002, this court  
ordered respondent to show cause why identical or comparable discipline should  
not be imposed in this state. Respondent filed a notice of intent not to file  
objections and this cause was considered by the court. Upon consideration  
thereof,

{¶3} IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by this court that pursuant to  
Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F)(4), respondent, Randall Lee Porter, Attorney Registration  
No. 0005835, last known business address in Columbus, Ohio, be publicly  
reprimanded.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

{¶4} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, by the court, that within 90 days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded against the respondent by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered, sua sponte, by the court that if, after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against the respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F), the respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within 90 days of the notice of such award.

{¶5} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

{¶6} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent address respondent has given to the Attorney Registration Office.

{¶7} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK and LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., concur.

---