### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

### **COLUMBUS**

### ANNOUNCEMENT

WEDNESDAY January 9, 2002

## **MOTION DOCKET**

# 00-1705. Cincinnati v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp.

Hamilton App. Nos. C-990729, C-990814 and C-990815. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County. Upon consideration of the motion of appellant city of Cincinnati for leave to provide citation of authority,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to leave to provide citation of authority be, and hereby is, granted, and appellant may file the citation of the authority within seven days of the date of this entry.

### **01-1589.** State v. Leonard.

Hamilton C.P. No. B0005891. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Hamilton County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for extension of time to transmit the record,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time to transmit the record be, and hereby is, granted, and the time for transmitting the record is extended to February 4, 2002. No further extensions will be granted.

# 02-2. Goins v. Wellington.

Mahoning App. No. 01CA208. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Mahoning County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of court of appeals' judgment,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay of court of appeals' judgment be, and hereby is, denied.

Cook, J., dissents.

## MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

In re Report of the Commission : on Continuing Legal Education. :

 $: \qquad \qquad \mathsf{E}\,\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{Y}$ 

Susan Gertrude Braden : [Filed January 9, 2002]

(#0022993), :

Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1996-1997 reporting period.

On August 31, 1999, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

On January 3, 2002, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On January 3, 2002, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Susan Gertrude Braden, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

2 1/9/02