

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

August 30, 2002

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2001-1048. State v. Martello.

Ashtabula App. No. 2000-A-0026. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Ashtabula County. Upon consideration of appellee's motion to dismiss appeal as having been improvidently allowed, appellee's second motion for appointment of counsel, and appellee's motion to strike notice of appeal, memorandum in support of jurisdiction, and brief for failure of service,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to dismiss and motion to strike be, and hereby are, denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the second motion for appointment of counsel be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, nunc pro tunc, that the Ohio Public Defender be appointed as counsel for appellee and be granted 20 days from the date of this entry, July 29, 2002, to file a merit brief on behalf of appellee. Appellant's reply brief, if any, shall be due within 15 days of the filing of appellee's brief.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission
on Continuing Legal Education.

:
:

Lincoln Raphael Thorman
(#0023933),
Respondent.

:
:
:

E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of reports by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against the above-named respondent for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1997-1998 and 1999-2000 reporting periods.

On January 20, 2000, and April 5, 2002, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), this court entered orders adopting the recommendations of the commission, and imposed fee sanctions upon the respondent. In the order entered April 5, 2002, this court also suspended the respondent from the practice of law.

On July 26, 2002, the commission filed a motion to vacate, requesting that the orders of January 20, 2000, and April 5, 2002, pertaining to the above-named respondent, be vacated. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and the same is hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the orders of January 20, 2000, and April 5, 2002, pertaining to respondent, are hereby vacated and this matter is dismissed.