

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

MONDAY

November 19, 2001

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

01-937. Columbus v. Garrett.

Franklin App. No. 00AP-610. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 7, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.

01-1594. Gammarino v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 01-A-209. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 13, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.

01-1596. Gammarino v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 01-A-213. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 13, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.

01-1600. Gammarino v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 01-A-208. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 13, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.

01-1601. Gammarino v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 01-A-307. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 13, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.

01-1602. Gammarino v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 01-A-210. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 13, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.

01-1603. Gammarino v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 01-A-212. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 13, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, *sua sponte*.