

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

WEDNESDAY

June 27, 2001

MOTION DOCKET

98-1891. State v. Jones.

Hamilton App. No. C-970043. On February 12, 2001, this court stayed the execution of sentence in this cause pending exhaustion of state post-conviction remedies. Appellee has moved that this court set a date for execution of sentence. It appearing to the court that all matters have been disposed of in case No. 99-986, appellant's *Murnahan* appeal and in case No. 01-318, appellant's post-conviction appeal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the stay of execution entered in this cause on February 12, 2001, be and is hereby revoked.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED by this court that said sentence be carried into execution by the Warden of the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility or, in his absence, by the Deputy Warden on Tuesday, the 25th day of September, 2001, in accordance with the statutes so providing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of this entry and a warrant under the seal of this court be duly certified to the Warden of the Southern Ohio Correctional Facility and that said warden shall make due return thereof to the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Hamilton County.

01-609. In re Bicknell.

Butler App. Nos. CA2000-07-140 and CA2000-07-141. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal as of right. On March 28, 2001, appellant filed a notice that a motion to certify a conflict was pending in the court of appeals and, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(A), this court stayed consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this appeal. Whereas appellant has neither notified this court that the court of appeals determined that a conflict does not exist as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(B) nor filed a copy of the court of appeals order certifying the existence of a conflict as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that appellant show cause within ten days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to consider the jurisdictional memoranda in this appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(6).

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

01-1130. Feher v. Bruzzese.

This case originated upon the filing of an “Application for Review DeNovo in the Nature of Writ of Error Coram Nobis” by John Joseph Feher.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that this case be, and hereby is, dismissed.

01-1131. Feher v. Bruzzese.

This cause originated upon the filing of an “Application for Review DeNovo in the Nature of Writ of Error Coram Nobis” by John Joseph Feher.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that this case be, and hereby is, dismissed.