### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

#### **COLUMBUS**

### ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY June 26, 2001

# **MOTION DOCKET**

#### 01-171. State ex rel. Reder v. Pub. Emp. Retirement Sys. of Ohio.

Franklin App. No. 00AP-447. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion to extend the briefing schedule pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(C),

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion is granted and the time for filing appellant's brief is extended to August 6, 2001.

#### 01-493. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co.

Summit App. No. 19121. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Summit County. Upon consideration of the motion of *amicus curiae* Babcock & Wilcox Company et al. for leave to have the *amicus curiae* brief filed in Supreme Court case No. 00-1984 deemed filed in this case,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion be, and hereby is granted, and the *amicus curiae* brief filed in case No. 00-1984 is deemed filed in this case as of the date of this order.

Cook, J., not participating.

# 01-591. Reedy v. Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.

Hamilton App. Nos. C-000804 and C-000805. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On April 17, 2001, this court granted appellant's motion for stay of consideration of its application for dismissal of this appeal pending the outcome of settlement negotiations. Appellant has not notified the court of the status or outcome of the negotiations.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that appellant show cause within thirty days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to a determination of jurisdiction pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(6).

### 01-973. State v. Russell.

Van Wert App. No. 15-2000-07. This cause is pending before the court as a *Murnahan* appeal. On June 21, 2001, appellee filed a memorandum in response that was due, under S.Ct.Prac.R. III(2)(A), no later than June 20, 2001. Whereas S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(1)(C) prohibits untimely filings,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the memorandum in response be, and hereby is, stricken.

# **DISCIPLINARY DOCKET**

# 00-2099. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Clapp & Affiliates Fin. Serv., Inc.

Upon consideration of movant's amended motion for order to show cause why respondent should not be held in contempt for not complying with subpoena *duces tecum*,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the amended motion be, and hereby is, granted, and respondent shall show cause within twenty days of the date of this entry why respondent should not be held in contempt for not complying with the subpoena *duces tecum*.

# MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

### 01-702. State ex rel. Yancey v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 00AP-613. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due June 20, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is dismissed, *sua sponte*.

# 01-721. State ex rel. Griffin v. Schneiderman.

Summit App. No. 20427. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Summit County. It appears from the records of this court that appellants have not filed a merit brief, due June 21, 2001, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore have failed to prosecute this case with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is dismissed, *sua sponte*.