## SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

### COLUMBUS

#### ANNOUNCEMENT

9:00 A.M.

WEDNESDAY January 24, 2001

# DISMISSALS, SUA SPONTE, NO SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION AND DISCRETIONARY APPEALS, IF APPLICABLE, NOT ALLOWED

### 00-1811. State v. Benson.

Butler App. No. CA99-11-194. Moyer, C.J., Pfeifer and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., dissent.

### 00-1837. State ex rel. O'Connor v. Davis.

Summit App. No. 19333. Moyer, C.J., Resnick and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., dissent.

## 00-2041. Soler v. Evans.

Franklin App. No. 99AP-1020.

Moyer, C.J., would allow on Propositions of Law Nos. II and III. Douglas and F.E. Sweeney, JJ., would allow.

# **MOTION DOCKET**

#### 00-2181. Soler v. Evans.

Franklin App. No. 99AP-1020. On review of order certifying a conflict. The court determines that a conflict exists; the parties are to brief the issues stated in the court of appeals' journal entry filed on November 28, 2000, at pages 1-2:

"1) In an action involving multiple parties and multiple claims, must a party who has received summary judgment file a motion, pursuant to R.C. 2323.51, within twenty-one days of such summary judgment or may the party wait until twenty-one days of when the remaining claims are resolved?

"Similarly, must a party file the motion within twenty-one days of a voluntary dismissal, pursuant to Civ.R. 41(A), when a counterclaim remains pending or may the party wait until twenty-one days of when the counterclaim is resolved?

"2) Is a general jury demand in a complaint preserved as to the issues in a counterclaim when the demand is contained in a complaint that is later dismissed pursuant to Civ.R. 41(A)?"

Cook, J., dissents.

The conflict cases are *Skidmore & Hall v. Rottman* (1987), 38 Ohio App.3d 40, 525 N.E.2d 824; and *Edinger v. DeRail, Inc.* (Apr. 12, 1991), Lucas App. No. L-90-158, unreported, 1991 WL 53777.

## DISCRETIONARY APPEALS NOT ALLOWED

## 00-1888. Fath v. Mut. Oil & Gas Co.

Summit App. Nos. 19851 and 19856. Douglas, F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.