## DAVIS ET AL., APPELLEES, v. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, APPELLANT.

[Cite as Davis v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 2001-Ohio-173.]

Insurance—Motor vehicles—Mandatory offering of uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage—Amount available for payment for purpose of setoff— Court of appeals' judgment affirmed.

(No. 00-1998—Submitted May 16, 2001—Decided July 5, 2001.) APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County, No. 99AP-194.

 $\{\P 1\}$  The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed consistent with the opinion of the court of appeals.

 $Douglas, Resnick, F.E.\ Sweeney\ and\ Pfeifer,\ JJ.,\ concur.$ 

MOYER, C.J., COOK and LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., dissent.

## COOK, J., dissenting.

{¶ 2} I respectfully dissent based on the reasoning set forth in my dissenting opinion in *Littrell v. Wigglesworth* (2001), 91 Ohio St.3d 425, 746 N.Ed.2d 1077, and in my opinion concurring in part and dissenting in part in *Clark v. Scarpelli* (2001), 91 Ohio St.3d 271, 744 N.E.2d 719.

MOYER, C.J., and LUNDBERG STRATTON, J., concur in the foregoing dissenting opinion.

*Clark, Perdue, Roberts & Scott Co., L.P.A., Glen R. Pritchard* and *Douglas S. Roberts*, for appellees.

Gallagher, Gams, Pryor, Tallan & Littrell L.L.P., James R. Gallagher and Amy Mass, for appellant.