

**SUPREME COURT OF OHIO**

**COLUMBUS**

**ANNOUNCEMENT**

TUESDAY  
December 5, 2000

**MOTION DOCKET**

**00-505. Farm Credit Serv. of Mid-America v. Zaino.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 97-T-889 and 97-T-333. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal and cross-appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. On May 9, 2000, and August 16, 2000, appellant/cross-appellee filed briefs that listed the name of Theodore R. Bots as co-counsel. On September 25, appellant/cross-appellee filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice*, but it did not include the name of Theodore R. Bots. Mr. Bots is not admitted to practice in Ohio and has not sought admission *pro hac vice* as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. I(1) and (2). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the name of Theodore R. Bots be, and hereby is, stricken from appellant/cross-appellee's briefs and Theodore R. Bots shall not be permitted to participate in this case.

**00-507. Cincinnati Bell Tel. Co. v. Pub. Util. Comm.**

Public Utilities Commission, No. 96-899-TP-ALT. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. On May 12, 2000, TCG Ohio filed a motion for leave to intervene, and on August 30, 2000, the motion to intervene was denied. On August 8, 2000, TCG Ohio and Worldcom, Inc. filed a merit brief. Due to the fact that permission to intervene had been denied,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the merit brief of TCG Ohio and Worldcom, Inc. be, and hereby is, stricken.

**00-1329. Rolf v. Tri State Motor Transit Co.**

Certified Question of State Law, No. 3:99CV7687. This cause came before the court on the certification of a state law question from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Western Division. On November 29, 2000, petitioner filed a reply brief that was due, under S.Ct.Prac.R. XVIII, no later than November 20, 2000. Therefore, petitioner's reply brief is untimely and prohibited by S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(1)(C). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that petitioner's reply brief be, and hereby is, stricken.

**00-1919. Citizen Power, Inc. v. Pub. Util. Comm.**

Public Utilities Commission, Nos. 99-1212-EL-ETP, 99-1213-EL-ATA, and 99-1214-EL-AAM. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of the motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Harvey L. Reiter by William M. Ondrey Gruber,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for admission *pro hac vice* be, and hereby is, granted.

Cook, J., not participating.

**DISCIPLINARY DOCKET**

**00-2098. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Allstate Ins. Co.**

No. 99-04. Upon consideration of the joint motion for stay of proceedings,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay of proceedings be, and hereby is, granted until December 18, 2000, at which time the stay will expire.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that respondent's response to the motion for order to show cause shall be due December 28, 2000.

**MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

**00-1812. State v. Maxwell.**

Franklin App. No. 99AP-1177. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and cross-appeal and claimed appeal of right. On November 29, 2000, appellee/cross-appellant filed a memorandum in response and in support of cross-appeal that was due, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(4)(A), no later than November 27, 2000. Whereas S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(1)(C) prohibits untimely filings,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that appellee/cross-appellant's memorandum in response and in support of cross-appeal be, and hereby is, stricken.

Whereas appellee/cross-appellant has not filed a memorandum in response and in support of cross-appeal within the time for perfecting his cross-appeal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the cross-appeal be, and hereby is, dismissed.

**00-1919. Citizen Power, Inc. v. Pub. Util. Comm.**

Public Utilities Commission, Nos. 99-1212-EL-ETP, 99-1213-EL-ATA, and 99-1214-EL-AAM. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

Cook, J., not participating.

**MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET**

In re Report of the Commission :  
on Continuing Legal Education. : O R D E R

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, the respondents herein, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1998-1999 reporting period.

On October 6, 2000, pursuant to Gov.Bar. R. X(6)(B)(1), this court issued to each respondent an order to show cause on or before December 18, 2000, why the commission's recommendation should not be adopted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar. R. X(6)(B)(2), the commission may file an answer brief to any objections within fifteen days of the filing of the objections.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the commission may consolidate its responses to the respondents' objections in a single answer brief that shall be filed no later than February 1, 2001.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the notice and service requirements of Gov.Bar R. X(6)(C) shall not apply to this order; and announcement and publication of this order by the Supreme Court Reporter in the Ohio Official Reports and the Ohio State Bar Association Report shall constitute notice to the respondents.