

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

**FRIDAY
March 24, 2000**

MOTION DOCKET

00-439. State ex rel. Plain Dealer Publishing Co. v. Geauga Cty. Court of Common Pleas, Juv. Div.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relator's motion to consolidate this cause with Supreme Court case No. 00-442,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to consolidate be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall combine the filing of evidence and briefing in case Nos. 00-439 and 00-442 and file one brief for each brief permitted; the parties shall file an original of the evidence and brief in each case, twelve copies of the evidence and eighteen copies of the brief; and the parties shall otherwise comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. X(8).

00-442. State ex rel. New World Communications of Ohio, Inc. v. Geauga Cty. Court of Common Pleas, Juv. Div.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relator's motion to consolidate this cause with Supreme Court case No. 00-439,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to consolidate be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall combine the filing of evidence and briefing in case Nos. 00-439 and 00-442 and file one brief for each brief permitted; the parties shall file an original of the evidence and brief in each case, twelve copies of the evidence and eighteen copies of the brief; and the parties shall otherwise comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. X(8).

00-530. State ex rel. Dispatch Printing Co. v. Louden.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that an alternative writ be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the following briefing schedule be set for presentation of evidence and filing of briefs pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. X:

The parties shall file any evidence they intend to present within twenty days of the date of this entry; relator shall file its brief within ten days after the filing of evidence; respondent shall file his brief within twenty days after the filing of relator's brief; and relator may file a reply brief within five days after the filing of respondent's brief.

Douglas and Resnick, JJ., would grant a peremptory writ.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

00-375. State ex rel. Stevens v. Whitmore.

In Procedendo. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of procedendo. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.