

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
March 21, 2000

MOTION DOCKET

97-2003. State v. Lindsey.

Brown C.P. Nos. 972015 and 972064. Upon consideration of the motion filed by counsel for appellant to stay execution in the above-styled cause pending the exhaustion of state post-conviction remedies, and it appearing from the exhibits to the motion that a petition for post-conviction relief was filed by appellant with the Brown County Common Pleas Court,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that execution of sentence be, and the same is hereby, stayed, pending the exhaustion of all proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state, including any appeals.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for the appellant and for the appellee shall notify this court when all proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state have been exhausted.

98-912. State v. Smith.

Lorain App. No. 96CA006331. Upon consideration of the motion filed by counsel for appellant to stay execution in the above-styled cause pending the exhaustion of state post-conviction remedies, and it appearing from the exhibits to the motion that a petition for post-conviction relief was filed by appellant with the Lorain County Common Pleas Court, and an appeal of the trial court's denial of petition is now pending in the Lorain County Court of Appeals,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that execution of sentence be, and the same is hereby, stayed, pending the exhaustion of all proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state, including any appeals.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for the appellant and for the appellee shall notify this court when all proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state have been exhausted.

99-1198. State ex rel. Grendell v. Davidson.

In Mandamus. This cause came on for further consideration on relators' motion for stay of enforcement of sanctions pending appeal to the United States Supreme Court. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay be, and hereby is, denied.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, J., would grant the motion.

Lundberg Stratton, J., would grant the motion upon the posting of a \$10,000 bond.

99-1280. Toledo Edison Co. v. Bryan.

Williams App. No. WM-98-017. On January 4, 2000, *amici curiae* Allegheny Power, Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, Dayton Power & Light Company, Ohio Edison Company, and Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company filed an *amicus* brief. The attorney listed as representing Allegheny Power, Robert R. Winter, is not admitted to practice in Ohio and has not sought admission *pro hac vice* as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. I(1) and (2). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the name of Robert R. Winter be, and hereby is, stricken from the *amicus* brief and Robert R. Winter shall not be permitted to appear in this case.