SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

MONDAY January 10, 2000

MOTION DOCKET

96-765. State v. Suffecool.

Stark App. No. 1998CA00101. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Stark County. Upon consideration of the motion of *amicus curiae*, Ohio Attorney General Betty D. Montgomery, to participate in oral argument scheduled for January 12, 2000,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to participate in oral argument be, and hereby is, granted, and the *amicus curiae* shall share the time allotted to appellee.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

99-1904. Terry v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 97-K-345. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand this case to the Board of Tax Appeals for an order reflecting the parties' settlement,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to remand this case be treated as an application for dismissal and, as such, it is granted, and this cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals for entry of an order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are to bear their respective costs herein expended; and that a mandate be sent to the Board of Tax Appeals to carry this judgment into execution; and that a copy of this entry be certified to the Board of Tax Appeals for entry.

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

In re Report of the Commission :

on Continuing Legal Education. : 2000 TERM

Christopher David Banks, :

(#0011686), : ENTRY

Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1996-1997 reporting period.

On July 30, 1999, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

On December 16, 1999, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies, and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On December 16, 1999, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal

2 01/10/00

education required during his suspension by this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Christopher David Banks, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

3 01/10/00