CINCINNATI BAR ASSOCIATION v. HAAS.

[Cite as Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Haas, 1999-Ohio-40.]

(No. 97-2640—Submitted and decided October 29, 1999.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

- {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent Herbert Jaime Haas, Attorney Registration No. 0015411, last known business address in Cincinnati, Ohio.
- $\{\P\ 2\}$ The court coming now to consider its order of September 30, 1998, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent for a period of one year, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A). Therefore,
- $\{\P\ 3\}$ IT IS ORDERED by this court that Herbert Jaime Haas be and hereby is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.
- $\{\P 4\}$ IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.
- {¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Haas* (1998), 83 Ohio St.3d 302, 699 N.E.2d 919.

MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK and LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., concur.