## OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. ROTHERMEL. [Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Rothermel, 1999-Ohio-243.]

(No. DD 84-20—Submitted and decided September 9, 1999.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Christian Dean Rothermel, Attorney Registration No. 0043140, last known business address in Trenton, Ohio.

{¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of December 31, 1984, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V, former Section 6(C), suspended respondent for a period of one year, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A). Therefore,

 $\{\P\ 3\}$  IT IS ORDERED by this court that respondent, Christian Dean Rothermel, be, and hereby is, reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

 $\{\P 4\}$  IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. Rothermel* (1984), 15 Ohio St.3d 121, 15 OBR 272, 472 N.E.2d 1072.

MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK and LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., concur.