

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. WITT.

[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Witt*, 1999-Ohio-238.]

*Attorneys at law—Misconduct—Reciprocal discipline—Public reprimand—
Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F).*

(No. 99-1398—Submitted and decided September 20, 1999.)

ON CERTIFIED ORDER OF THE STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE OF
CONNECTICUT, No. 97-0681.

{¶ 1} This cause is pending before the Supreme Court of Ohio in accordance with the reciprocal discipline provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F).

{¶ 2} On July 27, 1999, relator, Disciplinary Counsel, filed with this court a certified copy of an order of the Statewide Grievance Committee of Connecticut entered February 24, 1999, *Steven Bonin v. Otto Witt*, in complaint No. 97-0681, in which respondent, Otto Phillip Witt, was reprimanded. On August 9, 1999, this court ordered respondent to show cause why identical or comparable discipline should not be imposed in this state. Respondent filed no response to the show cause order. This cause was considered by the court and on consideration thereof,

{¶ 3} IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by this court that pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F)(4), respondent, Otto Phillip Witt, Attorney Registration No. 0056574, last known address in Shaker Heights, Ohio, be publicly reprimanded.

{¶ 4} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, *sua sponte*, by the court, that within ninety days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded against the respondent by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered, *sua sponte*, by the court that if, after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against the respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

R. VIII(7)(F), the respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within ninety days of the notice of such award.

{¶ 5} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, *sua sponte*, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

{¶ 6} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, *sua sponte*, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent address respondent has given to the Attorney Registration Office.

{¶ 7} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK and
LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., concur.
