

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

1:00 P.M.

WEDNESDAY
December 22, 1999

**DISMISSALS, SUA SPONTE, NO SUBSTANTIAL
CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION AND DISCRETIONARY
APPEALS, IF APPLICABLE, NOT ALLOWED**

99-1826. State v. Naugle.

Stark App. No. 1999CA00017.

Moyer, C.J., and Lundberg Stratton, J., would allow on Propositions of Law Nos. I, III, and IV and hold the cause on these propositions of law for the decision in 98-2301, *State v. Gowdy*, Hamilton App. No. C-970359, and allow on Proposition of Law No. VI and hold the cause on this proposition of law for the decision in 99-765, *State v. Suffecool*, Stark App. No. 1998CA00101.

Cook, J., would allow on Proposition of Law No. I (jurisdiction for hearing), Proposition of Law No. III (cruel/unusual punishment), Proposition of Law No. IV (double jeopardy), and Proposition of Law No. VI (vagueness), and hold the cause on these propositions of law for the decision in 98-2301, *State v. Gowdy*, Hamilton App. No. C0970359.

99-1854. In re Ross Children.

Butler App. Nos. CA98-12-253 and CA98-12-255.

Moyer, C.J., Pfeifer and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., dissent.

99-1874. Westfield Ins. Co. v. Blamer.

Franklin App. No. 98AP-1576.

Resnick, F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.