

04/21/98

## SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

## COLUMBUS

## ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY  
April 21, 1998

## DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

95-1665. Disciplinary Counsel v. Fortado.

On February 28, 1996, the court suspended respondent, Matthew Fortado, for two years, with one year stayed, on conditions. On July 23, 1997, respondent applied for reinstatement, and on August 22, 1997, this court stayed the second year of the suspension and placed respondent on probation for one year on the condition that he continue to fulfill the terms of his Ohio Lawyers Assistance Program, Inc. contract and that he be monitored by two attorneys. On March 2, 1998, respondent filed an application to terminate probation, and on April 6, 1998, relator filed a response. Because respondent's one-year probationary period has not yet expired, respondent's application for termination of probation is premature. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for termination of probation filed by respondent be, and is, hereby, stricken.

97-2344. In re Resignation of O'Neill.

On December 17, 1997, the court accepted the resignation of respondent, Michael James O'Neill, Attorney Registration No. 0047295, last known business address in Columbus, Ohio. On March 6, 1998, movant, Disciplinary Counsel, filed a motion for an order to appear and show cause why respondent should not be held in contempt for failing to obey this court's December 17, 1997 order. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion be, and is hereby, granted to the extent that respondent show cause by filing a written response with the Clerk of this court on or before twenty days from the date of this order why he should not be found in contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

