

08/19/98

## SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

## COLUMBUS

## ANNOUNCEMENT

WEDNESDAY  
August 19, 1998

## MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

97-1227. State ex rel. McFarland v. Indus. Comm.  
Franklin App. No. 96APD07-880. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

97-1522. State [ex rel.] Townsend v. Conrad.  
Franklin App. No. 96APD08-1057. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

97-1764. State ex rel. Anderson v. Indus. Comm.  
Franklin App. No. 96APD05-602. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

98-714. State ex rel. Hull v. Indus. Comm.  
Franklin App. No. 97APD02-2179. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

98-1037. State ex rel. McConnell v. Crawford.

Franklin App. No. 98APD01-0025. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellants have not filed a merit brief, due August 10, 1998, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore have failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

98-1151. State v. Jackson.

Hamilton App. No. C-960628. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due August 14, 1998, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

98-1204. State v. Strodes.

Clark App. No. 2475. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due August 14, 1998, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.