

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

MONDAY
October 5, 1998

MOTION DOCKET

97-2342. Wightman v. Consol. Rail Corp.
Erie App. No. E-97-001. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal and cross-appeal from the Court of Appeals for Erie County. Upon consideration of the motion of appellee/cross-appellant, Consolidated Rail Corporation, to reschedule oral argument currently scheduled for November 10, 1998,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to reschedule oral argument be, and hereby is, denied.

98-1475. State v. Hartman.
Summit C.P. No. CR97091987. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Summit County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for extension of time for transmission of record,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for extension of time for transmission of the record be, and hereby is, granted, and the time for transmitting the record is extended to November 23, 1998. No further extensions will be granted.

98-1970. State v. Tibbetts.
Hamilton C.P. B9708596. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Hamilton County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution pending final disposition in this court,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for stay of execution be, and hereby is, granted.

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

1998 TERM

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. :

Robert Alan Lavercombe
(#0017636),
Respondent. : E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1994-1995 reporting period.

On January 27, 1997, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), this court entered an order adopting the recommendation of the commission, imposing a fee sanction upon the respondent.

On August 3, 1998, the commission filed a motion to vacate, requesting that the order of January 27, 1997, pertaining to the respondent, be vacated. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the order of January 27, 1997, pertaining to respondent, is hereby vacated, and this cause is dismissed.