

**THE STATE OF OHIO, APPELLEE, v. CONDRON, APPELLANT.**

**[Cite as *State v. Condron*, 1998-Ohio-691.]**

*Criminal procedure—Classification as sexual predator—Court of appeals’  
judgment affirmed on authority of State v. Cook.*

(Nos. 98-884 and 98-886—Submitted October 13, 1998—Decided November 25,  
1998.)

APPEAL from and CERTIFIED by the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County,  
No. CA 16430.

---

*Mathias H. Heck, Jr.*, Montgomery County Prosecuting Attorney, and  
*Cheryl A. Ross*, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellee.

*Charles A. Smiley, Jr.*, for appellant.

---

{¶ 1} The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed on the authority of  
*State v. Cook* (1998), 83 Ohio St.3d 404, 700 N.E.2d 570.

MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK and  
LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., concur.

---