SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
March 21, 1997

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

1997 TERM

In re Report of the Commission on : Continuing Legal Education. :

ORDER

Jacqueline Marie Boney (0013050), Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1994-1995 reporting period.

On November 6, 1996, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(1), this court issued to the respondent an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Pursuant to the order to show cause, respondent tendered payment in the amount of the recommended sanction, in lieu of filing objections, and the court entered a final order on January 27, 1997. It has now come to the court's attention that the order of January 27, 1997 was incorrect in that it did not reflect that respondent had tendered payment of the recommended sanction. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the order of January 27, 1997, be and hereby is, vacated, and the following order is entered, nunc pro tunc, effective December 18, 1996:

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the sanction of \$360 is hereby imposed upon the respondent and payment of the sanction is acknowledged.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this order shall not be considered a disciplinary order pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V or Gov.Bar R. X(6)(H).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, payment of the sanction notwithstanding, respondent shall comply with the requirements imposed by Gov.Bar R. X for the 1994-1995 reporting period. See CLE Req. 503.4.

1997 TERM

In re Report of the Commission on : Continuing Legal Education. :

ORDER

Almeta Ann Johnson (0000976), Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1994-1995 reporting period.

On November 6, 1996, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(1), this court issued to the respondent an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Pursuant to the order to show cause, respondent tendered payment in the amount of the recommended sanction, in lieu of filing objections, and the court entered a final order on January 27, 1997. It has now come to the court's attention that the order of January 27, 1997 was incorrect in that it did not reflect that respondent had tendered payment of the recommended sanction. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the order of January 27, 1997, be and hereby is, vacated, and the following order is entered, nunc pro tunc, effective December 18, 1996:

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the sanction of \$250 is hereby imposed upon the respondent and payment of the sanction is acknowledged.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this order shall not be considered a disciplinary order pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V or Gov.Bar R. X(6) (H).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, payment of the sanction notwithstanding, respondent shall comply with the requirements imposed by Gov.Bar R. X for the 1994-1995 reporting period. See CLE Reg. 503.4.